

East African Journal of Education Studies
eajes.eanso.org
Volume 9, Issue 2, 2026
Print ISSN: 2707-3939 | Online ISSN: 2707-3947
Title DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/2707-3947

EAST AFRICAN NATURE & SCIENCE ORGANIZATION

*Original Article*

# The Registrar's Stewardship in Contemporary Higher Education in Uganda: Navigating Policy, Politics, Challenges, and Success

*Geoffrey Angela*[1*] *& Brenda Akullu*[1]

[1] Lira University, P. O. Box 1035, Lira, Uganda.
[*] Author for Correspondence ORCID ID; https://orcid.org/0009-0000-3151-8695; Email: gangela@lirauni.ac.ug

**Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913**

**Date Published:** *01 May 2026*

**Keywords**:

*Higher education governance, Quality assurance, Institutional management, Student participation, Regulatory frameworks, Stakeholder engagement, Internal quality assurance systems.*

**ABSTRACT**

Uganda's higher education system is rapidly expanding under increasing regulation and expectations to support national development and global competitiveness. In this evolving context, the Registrar occupies a vital yet under-theorised role at the intersection of governance, quality assurance, and daily academic management. This paper uses a conceptual and integrative review approach, drawing on stewardship, systems, and governance theories and synthesising Ugandan and comparative African evidence on leadership, corporate governance, quality assurance, monitoring and evaluation, and registrar office operations. The analysis identifies four interconnected dimensions of the Registrar's role. First, Registrars interpret and implement regulatory frameworks, particularly those of the National Council for Higher Education, the Universities and Other Tertiary Institutions Act, and the National Quality Assurance Guidelines into institutional policies, records systems, and assessment procedures. Second, they operate within politicised and hierarchical governance environments shaped by leadership styles, council decisions, and student politics. Third, the Registrar's work is constrained by systemic challenges, including understaffing, weak ICT infrastructure, poor policy enforcement, and shifting policy agendas. Fourth, Registrars contribute directly to student and institutional success through credible admissions, assessments, graduations, learner support, and internal quality assurance systems. The paper concludes that the Registrar is a central institutional actor whose effectiveness is critical to credible governance, regulatory compliance, and student-centred service delivery. It recommends clearer role definition in policy, better alignment between regulatory demands and resources, targeted investment in ICT and human capacity, and participatory, transparent management practices to build resilient institutions capable of advancing Uganda's higher education and development objectives.

**APA CITATION**

Angela, G., & Akullu, B. (2026). The Registrar's Stewardship in Contemporary Higher Education in Uganda: Navigating Policy, Politics, Challenges, and Success. *East African Journal of Education Studies*, *9*(2), 315-327. https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

**CHICAGO CITATION**

Angela, Geoffrey and Brenda Akullu. 2026. "The Registrar's Stewardship in Contemporary Higher Education in Uganda: Navigating Policy, Politics, Challenges, and Success." *East African Journal of Education Studies* 9 (2), 315-327. https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913.

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

**HARVARD CITATION**

Angela, G., & Akullu, B. (2026). "The Registrar's Stewardship in Contemporary Higher Education in Uganda: Navigating Policy, Politics, Challenges, and Success", *East African Journal of Education Studies*, 9(2), pp. 315-327. doi: 10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

**IEEE CITATION**

G., Angela, & B., Akullu, "The Registrar's Stewardship in Contemporary Higher Education in Uganda: Navigating Policy, Politics, Challenges, and Success", *EAJES*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 315-327, May. 2026.

**MLA CITATION**

Angela, Geoffrey & Brenda Akullu. "The Registrar's Stewardship in Contemporary Higher Education in Uganda: Navigating Policy, Politics, Challenges, and Success". *East African Journal of Education Studies*, Vol. 9, no. 2, May. 2026, pp. 315-327, doi:10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913.

## INTRODUCTION

Background: The massification, privatisation, and diversification of higher education in Uganda have created a complex governance and regulatory landscape. The Universities and Other Tertiary Institutions Act and the establishment of NCHE introduced frameworks for corporate governance and systematic quality assurance across both public and private universities (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021). NCHE now manages accreditation, quality systems, and reporting, subjecting institutions to continuous external reviews (Otyola et al., 2023; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). At the institutional level, university councils, senates, and management must balance academic autonomy with regulatory compliance. Evidence from private universities suggests that corporate governance significantly influences work environments, staff motivation, and policy implementation, exerting both supportive and restrictive effects on administrative practices (Muhairwe et al., 2025). Meanwhile, advances in quality assurance, monitoring, and evaluation have increased demands for systematic data collection, student feedback, and documentation of academic standards (Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Kaggwa et al., 2023).

Leadership culture remains a topic of debate. Participatory leadership at Kabale University has been linked to greater ownership, engagement, and improved educational quality, in contrast to traditional top-down, opaque practices (Mikando et al., 2025). Political and managerial dynamics also influence quality assurance practices and responses to regulatory pressures (Atibuni & Olema, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). This environment positions the Registrar as central to regulatory compliance, academic management, and stakeholder expectations. Comparative studies from Ghana and Malaysia highlight structural challenges faced by registrar offices—such as staffing shortages, inadequate infrastructure, and technological gaps—while also affirming their crucial role in institutional efficiency, academic integrity, and student satisfaction (Yasin & Ghazali, 2025; Kyeremeh et al., 2025; Aquino & De Asis, 2025). Nevertheless, Ugandan research has rarely conceptualised the Registrar's stewardship as a form of administrative leadership mediating policy, politics, and student success. This paper seeks to address that gap through a stewardship-centred approach to understanding the Registrar's role.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a conceptual and integrative literature review approach, using existing scholarship as qualitative data to develop a stewardship-focused understanding of the Registrar's role rather than to test hypotheses. This method is suitable when the goal is to clarify concepts, synthesise dispersed evidence, and identify theoretical and practical gaps in a field (Chigbu et al., 2023; Snyder, 2023; Gentles et al., 2016).

### Literature Search Strategy

A descriptive, iterative search was performed between [insert years, e.g., 2010–2025] using Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, and institutional repositories. The core search strings

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

combined terms such as “university registrar,” “academic administration,” “governance,” “quality assurance,” “monitoring and evaluation,” “NCHE,” “Uganda higher education,” and “African universities.” Reference list screening (“snowballing”) was utilised to find additional relevant sources beyond database results (Wohlin et al., 2022; Van Wee & Banister, 2023).

### Selection Criteria

Inclusion focused on peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and evaluation reports that: (a) addressed higher education governance, quality assurance, or academic administration in Uganda; (b) examined registrar or equivalent academic administrative functions in Africa or comparable low- and middle-income contexts; or (c) provided relevant theoretical lenses (stewardship, systems, governance). Studies were excluded if they dealt solely with student perspectives without administrative or governance implications, or if they addressed non-tertiary education sectors. Selection followed a reasoned, transparent process aimed at achieving conceptual coverage rather than exhaustive enumeration (Gentles et al., 2016).

### Analytical Approach

Texts were analysed as qualitative data and coded both inductively and deductively across four key domains: (1) regulation and compliance, (2) governance and leadership, (3) institutional capacity and systems, and (4) registrar-mediated student and academic processes. Through continuous comparison across Ugandan and comparative cases, the analysis identified patterns, tensions, and gaps, enabling a conceptual “leap” from descriptive themes to a stewardship-focused model of the Registrar as an institutional actor mediating policy, politics, and student success (Rivard, 2024; Gentles et al., 2016).

## CONCEPTUAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

### Stewardship and System Perspectives

Stewardship in higher education involves the responsible management of institutional mandates, resources, and relationships to attain shared academic and developmental objectives. A systems perspective 317armonized the Registrar’s office as a vital node linking external regulators (NCHE, Ministry of Education and Sports), institutional governance (council, senate, management), academic departments, and students (Mikando et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023).

Participatory and relational leadership research in Ugandan universities 317armonized the benefits of shared decision-making for quality, staff morale, and innovation, while also exposing tensions between these approaches and deeply rooted hierarchical cultures (Mikando et al., 2025). Similarly, corporate governance studies show how governance roles and university philosophies influence work environments and staff motivation, suggesting that administrative stewardship is embedded within broader 317armonized317d317317 cultures and role structures (Muhairwe et al., 2025).

Institutional and resource dependency perspectives, used in research on the Ghanaian registrar’s office, illuminate how compliance pressures and resource limitations interact to influence administrative performance (Kyeremeh et al., 2025). These frameworks are applicable in Uganda, where regulatory expansion has not always been accompanied by adequate funding, staffing, or infrastructure (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Kaggwa et al., 2023).

### Ugandan Regulatory and Quality Assurance Framework

NCHE and related policy instruments form the core regulatory framework. NCHE’s

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

accreditation, quality assurance guidelines, and annual reports set standards for programmes, staffing, infrastructure, governance, and quality systems across higher education institutions (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). The Quality Assurance Framework for Universities and the licensing process document outline expectations for internal quality policies, data systems, student evaluation, and self-assessment mechanisms (Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023).

Monitoring and evaluation practices in higher institutions show the growing importance of quality assurance at all levels, with university leadership, senates, and councils required to coordinate internal M&E and respond to NCHE oversight (Otyola et al., 2023). At Makerere and other universities, internal quality assurance mechanisms now include student evaluation of teaching, peer observation, and structured self-assessment against regional benchmarks (Otyola et al., 2023; Kaggwa et al., 2023).

Regulatory frameworks for distance education further expand requirements for assessment, student support, and quality monitoring, demanding robust systems for dispersed learners and digital platforms (Muweesi & Frank, 2025; Hand, 2025). Private universities also face pressure to enforce rigorous recruitment and promotion standards for academic staff, as mandated by NCHE, but often struggle with weak enforcement, monitoring, and evaluation, which affects educational quality (Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019).

Within this multi-layered policy environment, the Registrar is a key institutional interpreter and enforcer of regulations—particularly for admissions, records, assessment, progression, and graduation.

### Politics, Governance, and Quality Assurance

Quality assurance and governance do not operate in a political vacuum. Analyses of quality assurance in Uganda and Eastern/Southern Africa highlight tensions between 318armonized318d318, 318armonized318d318, accountability demands, and institutional autonomy (Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021). Political dynamics can create competing priorities, underfunding, and shifting reform agendas, thereby complicating implementation at the institutional level (Otyola et al., 2025; Hand, 2025; Atibuni & Olema, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023).

Studies of "assuring quality amidst political intrigue" in higher education institutions highlight how political interference, patronage, and internal power struggles can undermine quality initiatives, cause role ambiguities, and foster mistrust among staff (Atibuni & Olema, 2021). Corporate governance research in private universities similarly identifies uneven welfare policies and mixed perceptions of governance effectiveness, suggesting that formal structures do not automatically create supportive conditions for administrative work (Muhairwe et al., 2025).

These dynamics influence registrar stewardship by affecting resource distribution, decision-making authority, expectations for rule enforcement, and opportunities for participatory leadership within administrative units.

## THE REGISTRAR'S STEWARDSHIP ROLE IN UGANDA

### Centre of Policy Translation and Academic Administration

The Registrar's office acts as the operational link between external regulations and internal procedures. In Uganda's regulated environment, registrars are key to:

- Interpreting NCHE accreditation and quality assurance guidelines into acceptable

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

qualifications, programme structures, credit loads, and progression rules (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021).
- Ensuring that institutional statutes and policies comply with the Universities and Other Tertiary Institutions Act and related directives, particularly regarding admissions, assessment, graduation, and records retention (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023).
- Coordinating data collection and reporting for NCHE, including enrolment statistics, staff qualifications, programme approvals, and quality audits (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025).

The quality of academic records, timetables, assessment documentation, and graduation audits directly influences institutional compliance, reputation, student satisfaction, and success. Evidence from Ghana indicates that registrar offices play a crucial role in maintaining institutional efficiency and academic integrity, but are hindered by infrastructural and technological shortcomings (Kyeremeh et al., 2025). Similar issues are evident in Ugandan institutions through the broader literature on internal quality assurance and M&E systems, where documentation gaps and inconsistent implementation have been observed (Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Kaggwa et al., 2023).

**Stewardship of Student Academic Journeys**

Registrar systems structure the formal student lifecycle: admissions, registration, course enrolment, assessment, progression, graduation and certification. Research on monitoring and evaluation and internal quality assurance highlights the increasing use of data to track teaching quality and student outcomes, but also reveals challenges in implementation and gaps in stakeholder awareness (Otyola et al., 2023; Kaggwa et al., 2023).

Where registrar offices coordinate systematic student feedback, accurate records, and transparent rules, institutions are better positioned to ensure fairness, reduce grievances, and support student discipline and engagement (Otyola et al., 2023; Kaggwa et al., 2023; Luttamaguzi et al., 2025). Studies of institutional management practices and student discipline at Makerere University and Kampala International University show that administrative transparency, accessible services, clear timetabling, and responsive communication are strongly associated with responsible student behaviour and reduced misconduct (Luttamaguzi et al., 2025). These are domains where registrar leadership and systems are central.

In distance education, regulatory frameworks require credible assessment, strong learner support, and reliable communication channels. The example of Uganda Martyrs University shows that implementing accreditation, quality assurance, and student support frameworks has enhanced the quality of distance education, but has also revealed challenges related to connectivity, digital skills, and data protection (Muweesi & Frank, 2025; Hand, 2025). Registrars, as keepers of records and assessment systems, are crucial for putting these frameworks into practice.

**Enabling Internal Quality Assurance and Monitoring**

Registrar's offices frequently collaborate with or house quality assurance and M&E units. At Makerere University, internal quality assurance systems rely on data from the academic registrar, such as admission lists and alumni contact information, to assess programme quality and graduate outcomes (Kaggwa et al., 2023). In the national context, NCHE's quality assurance framework highlights the importance of internal systems, including record-keeping, evaluation, and improvement plans that rely on precise academic data and administrative processes (Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023).

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

At Mbarara University of Science and Technology, self-assessment revealed that internal quality assurance mechanisms are in place across teaching, research, and outreach. However, gaps exist in policy awareness and implementation, especially concerning skills development and community engagement (Kaggwa et al., 2023). Registrar-related data management and communication systems can help address these gaps by ensuring that staff and students are well-informed about policies, evaluation results, and improvement actions.

The literature on monitoring and evaluation in higher education 320armonized that university councils, senates, and college leadership must conduct regular M&E to safeguard educational quality (Otyola et al., 2023). Registrars, as secretaries to senates or councils in many institutions, play a key role in coordinating agendas, documenting decisions, tracking implementation, and ensuring that policies are translated into operational procedures.

## NAVIGATING POLICY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS

### Alignment with NCHE and Government Policies

NCHE's role as a "central pillar in upholding the quality and relevance of higher education" involves ongoing assessment and regulation of institutions, including programme accreditation, institutional licensing, and quality audits (Otyola et al., 2025). Registrars must ensure that institutional processes meet these expectations, for example, by:

- Enforcing minimum entry requirements and verifying qualifications in accordance with NCHE and national standards (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023).
- Aligning credit structures, academic calendars, and progression rules with approved programme documents and national frameworks.
- Supporting the implementation of NCHE's quality assurance guidelines and reporting requirements, including internal self-assessments and external reviews (Otyola et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Kaggwa et al., 2023).

The Education Sector Strategic Plan and higher education policy frameworks further require alignment of university outputs with national development goals, placing additional emphasis on data-driven decision-making and accountability (Otyola et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025). TVET quality assurance reviews reveal similar challenges in fragmented governance, dispersed responsibilities, and weak implementation plans (Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). These experiences highlight the importance of clear policy interpretation and coherent institutional responses—functions closely linked to registrar stewardship.

### Managing Policy Change and Complexity

Rapid policy changes and overlapping frameworks—across higher education, TVET, performance management, and national development planning—generate complexity for institutional administrators (Otyola et al., 2025; Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). Evidence from Malaysia indicates that registrars, bursars, and librarians face dilemmas arising from swift policy shifts, human factors, and financial constraints, all of which complicate governance and operational decision-making (Yasin & Ghazali, 2025).

In Uganda, similar pressures are evident in the growth of quality assurance and performance management guidelines, alongside digital transformation initiatives in higher education (Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Philipo et al., 2025; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). Registrar offices must continually adapt procedures, data systems, and communication

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

practices to remain compliant, often without commensurate increases in resources or training (Muhairwe et al., 2025; Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019; Otyola et al., 2023; Kaggwa et al., 2023; Philipo et al., 2025).

This environment requires adaptive and participatory leadership within registrar units, reflecting findings that participatory leadership boosts ownership, engagement, and productivity in universities, yet remains constrained by cultural attitudes towards authority and entrenched top-down management (Mikando et al., 2025).

## INSTITUTIONAL POLITICS, WORK ENVIRONMENT, AND WELL-BEING

### Governance Dynamics and Workplace Environment

Corporate governance roles in private universities show a moderate positive correlation with the work environment, with council policies and supervisory roles contributing to a stable setting. However, welfare policies are perceived less favourably (Muhairwe et al., 2025). Such findings suggest that while governance structures can support registrars' work through clear policies and university philosophies, deficiencies in welfare and support can undermine morale and performance.

Political intrigue and power struggles can distort quality assurance efforts and administrative practices. Analyses of quality assurance amidst political intrigue reveal how interference and competing interests may undermine standards, create role conflicts, and hinder transparent decision-making (Atibuni & Olema, 2021). For registrars, this may manifest as pressure over admissions decisions, grade changes, disciplinary outcomes, or appointment processes, challenging their role as neutral custodians of academic integrity.

### Role Conflict, Burnout and Mental Health

Administrators in Ugandan universities, including academic registrars and directors of services, face role conflict and burnout. A survey of mid-level administrators found that while role conflict alone did not strongly predict burnout, when combined with demographic factors such as age, emotional exhaustion became a significant concern (Mbabazi et al., 2023). The study recommends that senior administrators prioritise staff mental health, as emotional exhaustion can undermine professional effectiveness and institutional performance (Mbabazi et al., 2023).

Registrar offices, often understaffed and responsible for high-stakes processes under strict deadlines, are particularly vulnerable to such pressures. Comparative evidence from Ghanaian registrar offices points to understaffing, technological limitations, and inadequate professional development as systemic challenges that threaten service delivery and staff wellbeing (Kyeremeh et al., 2025). Addressing these issues requires not only institutional reforms but also recognition of registrar stewardship as a form of leadership that deserves support and capacity-building.

## OPERATIONAL CHALLENGES IN REGISTRAR STEWARDSHIP

### Staffing, Infrastructure and ICT Gaps

Research on registrar offices in Ghana highlights staffing shortages, infrastructural deficits, procurement delays, and limited professional development as key barriers to efficiency and student satisfaction (Kyeremeh et al., 2025). Ugandan studies reveal similar issues in internal quality assurance and monitoring systems: technological limitations, uneven adoption of digital tools, and inadequate investment in ICT infrastructure (Otyola et al., 2023; Kaggwa et al., 2023; Philipo et al., 2025).

Digital transformation in Ugandan higher education faces challenges such as limited

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

connectivity, outdated systems, and uneven digital skills, but also offers opportunities to enhance administrative efficiency and transparency (Philipo et al., 2025). For registrar offices, hybrid manual–322armonized322d systems can cause duplication, data errors, and delays in enrolment, grading, and certification. Studies from the Philippines on registrar operations show that even when core practices are consistently followed, limited automation, staff shortages, and insufficient training remain major challenges, indicating that such issues are common in resource-constrained environments (Aquino & De Asis, 2025).

### Weak Enforcement and Policy Implementation

Private universities in Uganda often have formal policies on staff recruitment, promotion, and development, but enforcement, monitoring, and evaluation are weak, which undermines educational quality (Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019). Similar patterns are observed in internal quality assurance, where policies exist but awareness and implementation lag, leaving gaps in practice (Kaggwa et al., 2023).

For registrars, this involves operating in environments where rules might be inconsistently enforced, political factors could influence decisions, and quality initiatives are not fully embedded. Such conditions complicate stewardship, as registrars may be held responsible for compliance without adequate authority or systemic backing.

### Distance Education and Student Support

Regulatory frameworks for distance education require strong quality assurance systems, including trustworthy assessment and student support services. At Uganda Martyrs University, implementing accreditation, quality assurance, and student support systems has enhanced educational quality but also highlighted challenges such as limited access for remote learners, digital literacy gaps, and data protection concerns (Muweesi & Frank, 2025; Hand, 2025).

Registrars must oversee enrolment and record-keeping for students across different locations, ensure secure and dependable assessment systems, and work with academic and ICT units to facilitate communication. Without sufficient infrastructure and trained staff, these tasks become major operational challenges.

## REGISTRAR STEWARDSHIP AND STUDENT/INSTITUTIONAL SUCCESS

### Student Discipline, Engagement, and Support

Student discipline and engagement are greatly affected by institutional management practices. The case studies of Makerere University and Kampala International University show that administrative transparency, accessible services, thorough orientation, and consistent timetabling encourage responsible student behaviour and 322armoniz misconduct (Luttamaguzi et al., 2025). These are areas where registrar offices, through clear communication, predictable processes, and responsive services, play an essential role in creating a positive academic environment.

Participatory leadership research demonstrates that involving students and staff in decision-making fosters ownership, engagement, and improved educational quality (Mikando et al., 2025). When registrars support effective student guilds, feedback platforms, and transparent grievance procedures, they help institutionalise participatory governance and create better conditions for student success.

### Quality of Teaching, Research, and Graduate Outcomes

Internal quality assurance and self-assessment studies at Ugandan universities reveal that robust quality systems are connected to improvements in teaching and learning, research, and community engagement, although gaps remain in policy

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

awareness, skills development, and university–industry linkages (Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Kaggwa et al., 2023). Registrars, by maintaining precise data on enrolment, progression, completion, and alums outcomes, provide crucial evidence for strategic decision-making and quality enhancement.

Determinants of academic staff quality, particularly recruitment, development, and promotion policies, are managed by NCHE and internal frameworks, but weak enforcement of these policies undermines teaching quality and graduate outcomes (Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019). Registrars participate in these processes through their roles on appointment committees, in documentation, and in ensuring that academic records meet approved staffing and programme standards.

**Integrity, Research Ethics, and Institutional Reputation**

Research misconduct, including plagiarism and falsification, is a rising concern in Ugandan higher education, driven by academic pressure, inadequate ethics training, and weak sanctions (Philipo et al., 2025). Such misconduct damages institutional credibility and can mislead public policy and professional practice. Registrars play a role in maintaining integrity by ensuring accurate records, supporting plagiarism-detection systems for theses and dissertations, and working with ethics and quality-assurance committees.

Policies and structures such as clear ethical guidelines, reporting mechanisms, and oversight committees are essential to promote a culture of accountability (Philipo et al., 2025). When 323armonized with registrar systems and enforced consistently, these measures safeguard academic standards and the institution's reputation.

**Table 1: Dimensions of Registrar Stewardship**

**Key Aspects of Registrar Stewardship in Uganda**

| Dimension | Core Functions / Issues | Citations |
|---|---|---|
| Policy & regulatory compliance | Translating the NCHE, UOTIA and MoES frameworks into institutional procedures | (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023) |
| Academic administration | Admissions, records, assessment, progression, and graduation | (Yasin & Ghazali, 2025; Kyeremeh et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Muweesi & Frank, 2025; Hand, 2025; Kaggwa et al., 2023) |
| Quality assurance & M&E | Data for audits, student feedback, and internal self-assessment | (Otyola et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Kaggwa et al., 2023; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023) |
| Governance & politics | Working with councils, senates, and navigating political interference | (Muhairwe et al., 2025; Mbabazi et al., 2023; Atibuni & Olema, 2021; Luttamaguzi et al., 2025) |
| ICT & systems management | Digital records, automation, and distance education support | (Kyeremeh et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Muweesi & Frank, 2025; Hand, 2025; Aquino & De Asis, 2025; Philipo et al., 2025) |
| Staff & wellbeing | Workload, role conflict, burnout, and professional development | (Yasin & Ghazali, 2025; Kyeremeh et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019; Mbabazi et al., 2023; Kaggwa et al., 2023) |
| Student success & discipline | Transparency, access to services, orientation, and support mechanisms | (Mikando et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Kaggwa et al., 2023; Luttamaguzi et al., 2025) |

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

## TOWARDS ENHANCED REGISTRAR STEWARDSHIP

### Clarifying Roles and Boosting Independence

Given the Registrar's central role in policy implementation and academic administration, clearer articulation of registrar responsibilities within national policy frameworks and institutional statutes is vital. NCHE and MoES documents could more explicitly outline expectations for registrar stewardship in quality assurance, data governance, and student support, while ensuring that authority corresponds with responsibility (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023).

Institutional governance reforms should provide registrars with sufficient autonomy to enforce rules consistently, resist inappropriate political pressure, and promote transparency. Participatory governance structures—such as empowered staff senates, student representation, and open forums—can support registrar decisions and help lessen perceptions of arbitrariness (Mikando et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Atibuni & Olema, 2021; Luttamaguzi et al., 2025).

### Investing in ICT, Data Systems, and Human Capacity

ICT investment is vital for modernising registrar operations. Experiences from Ghana and other contexts demonstrate that technological gaps greatly weaken service delivery and institutional efficiency (Yasin & Ghazali, 2025; Kyeremeh et al., 2025; Aquino & De Asis, 2025). Ugandan universities require integrated student information systems, secure digital records, and user-friendly platforms for enrolment, assessment, and communication, in line with national data protection standards (Otyola et al., 2023; Muweesi & Frank, 2025; Hand, 2025; Philipo et al., 2025).

Human capacity development is just as vital. Professional development programmes for registrar staff should include regulatory frameworks, data management, quality assurance, leadership, and change management. Evidence on academic staff development and supervision indicates that structured capacity-building and transparent career progression improve professionalism, job security, and innovation (Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019; Okongo & Okaka, 2025); similar principles can be applied to administrative cadres.

### Embedding Participatory and Transparent Practices

Participatory leadership studies demonstrate that inclusive decision-making enhances staff engagement, productivity, and student involvement in learning (Mikando et al., 2025). Registrar's offices can exemplify such practices by:

- Engaging staff in process redesign and digital transformation initiatives.
- Establishing regular stakeholder forums on issues related to academic administration.
- Using digital feedback tools to collect staff and student input on services (Mikando et al., 2025; Otyola et al., 2023; Luttamaguzi et al., 2025; Philipo et al., 2025).

Transparency—through clear communication of policies, open budget or resource information where relevant, and prompt handling of grievances—fosters trust and diminishes scepticism towards management (Mikando et al., 2025). These practices support both stewardship and organisational resilience.

### Aligning Regulatory Demands with Resources

A recurring theme in Ugandan and regional research is the disconnect between regulatory demands and available resources (Otyola et al., 2025; Muhairwe et al., 2025; Alemiga & Kibukamusoke, 2019; Otyola et al., 2023; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021; Mutebi & Ferej, 2023). Policymakers should assess the administrative and financial impacts of new frameworks and

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

offer targeted support, such as funding for ICT upgrades, staff training, and quality assurance units. NCHE's collaborative approach and alignment with national development priorities provide a basis for promoting such investments (Otyola et al., 2025; Hand, 2025; Ssentamu & Mawa, 2021). Institutions, in turn, should prioritise strategic investment in registrar and quality assurance functions, recognising their long-term contributions to student success, institutional reputation, and sustainable growth.

## CONCLUSION

Registrar stewardship in contemporary Ugandan higher education plays a vital role in translating policy into practice, mediating institutional politics, and maintaining academic integrity and student success. Evidence from Uganda and similar systems emphasises both the strategic importance of registrar offices and the systemic challenges they face, including resource limitations, technological gaps, political pressures, and weak policy enforcement.

Strengthening this stewardship requires coherent policy frameworks, supportive governance, investment in ICT and human capacity, and a shift towards participatory and transparent administrative cultures. Such reforms would not only empower registrars as key stewards of higher education quality and equity but also improve the resilience and responsiveness of Uganda's universities in a rapidly changing regulatory and societal context.

## REFERENCES

Alemiga, J., & Kibukamusoke, M. (2019). Determinants of the quality of academic staff in the process of teaching and learning in private universities in Uganda. *Africa's Public Service Delivery & Performance Review, 7*(1), 1– 12. https://doi.org/10.4102/apsdpr.v7i1.244

Aquino, M., & De Asis, R. (2025). Addressing enrollment challenges: A study on registrar's office operations in private higher education institutions in Eastern Pangasinan. *International Journal of Sustainability and Advanced Integrated Research, 1*(2). https://doi.org/10.65339/ijsair.v1.i2.2

Atibuni, D., & Olema, D. (2021). Assuring quality amidst political intrigue in higher education institutions. *East African Journal of Education Studies, 3*(1). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.3.1.262

Hand, C. (2025). A case study of Makerere University in Uganda through the lens of U.S. land grant higher education. *Journal of Comparative & International Higher Education*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.33915/etd.11923

Kaggwa, F., Nabachwa, S., Kyoshaba, M., Kalungi, D., Ambrose, A., Nakakeeto, R., & Agum, S. (2023). Self-assessment of internal quality assurance mechanisms: An empirical investigation. *The Arab Journal for Quality Assurance in Higher Education, 16*(55). https://doi.org/10.20428/ajqahe.v16i55.2098

Kyeremeh, D., Pimpong, M., & Benny-Coffie, P. (2025). The offices of the registrar: The milieu in public universities in Ghana. *Journal of Education and Learning Technology, 5*(6). https://doi.org/10.38159/jelt.2025653

Luttamaguzi, J., Muwagga, M., & Genza, G. (2025). Exploring the influence of institutional management practices on student discipline: A case study of Makerere University and Kampala International University. *East African Journal of Arts and Social Sciences, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajass.8.1.2660

Mbabazi, F., Eduan, W., & Ocheng, M. (2023). Role conflict and burnout among administrators in higher institutions of education in Uganda. *East African Journal of*

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

*Interdisciplinary Studies, 6*(1). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajis.6.1.1516

Mikando, M., Charles, M., John, K., Mugabo, A., Lawrence, S., Agnes, N., Bosco, L., Vincent, S., Judith, N., Kabasiita, J., Kizza, S., Muhamadi, K., Christopher, I., & Mugagga, A. (2025). Influence of participatory leadership on the quality of education in higher education institutions in Uganda: A case study of Kabale University. *East African Journal of Education Studies, 8*(2). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.8.2.3152

Muhairwe, A., Mande, W., & Abaho, A. (2025). Corporate governance roles and work environment in private higher education institutions in Uganda. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 7*(4). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i04.54189

Mutebi, R., & Ferej, A. (2023). A review of TVET quality assurance practice in Uganda. *East African Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajis.6.1.1327

Muweesi, C., & Frank, M. (2025). Impact of regulatory frameworks on the quality of distance education in Uganda's higher education: A case of Uganda Martyrs University Nkozi (UMU). *Cogent Education, 12*(1), 2575547. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2575547

Okongo, W., & Okaka, W. (2025). Professionalizing academic research supervisory competencies of lecturers at Kyambogo University for students' performance quality outputs in Uganda. *East African Journal of Arts and Social Sciences, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajass.8.1.2916

Otyola, R., Bukenya, J., Nalwadda, P., Kamaga, E., Wamani, G., Bantebya, S., & Jonathan, M. (2023). Qualitative review of monitoring and evaluation practices in higher institutions in Uganda. *European Journal of Human Resource, 7*(1). https://doi.org/10.47672/ejh.1653

Otyola, W., Sam, B., Joseph, K., Peter, T., Hanns, A., & Peter, K. (2025). Examining the role of the National Council of Higher Education in the assessment of higher education in Uganda. *International Journal of Education and Social Science Research, 8*(2), 360–373. https://doi.org/10.37500/ijessr.2025.8224

Philipo, S., Charles, M., Agnes, N., Bosco, L., Muhamadi, K., Lawrence, S., John, K., & Augustine, M. (2025). Policy and practice replications of research misconduct in higher education institutions in Uganda. *East African Journal of Education Studies, 8*(2). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.8.2.3212

Ssentamu, P., & Mawa, M. (2021). The genesis of quality assurance systems in higher education institutions in Uganda. In P. Ssentamu & M. Mawa (Eds.), *Quality assurance in higher education in Eastern and Southern Africa* (Chap. 3). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003141235-3

Yasin, M., & Ghazali, M. (2025). Dilemma of registrars, bursars, and librarians in fending off issues and challenges to reinforce the good governance of Malaysia's public universities. *Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 15*(1).https://doi.org/10.24191/jikm.v15i1.3839

Chigbu, U., Atiku, S., & Du Plessis, C. (2023). The Science of Literature Reviews: Searching, Identifying, Selecting, and Synthesising. *Publ.*, 11, 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/publications11010002

Wohlin, C., Kalinowski, M., Felizardo, K., & Mendes, E. (2022). Successful combination of database search and snowballing for identification of primary studies in systematic literature studies. *Inf. Softw. Technol.*, 147, 106908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2022.106908.

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.37284/eajes.9.2.4913

Snyder, H. (2023). Designing the literature review for a strong contribution. *Journal of Decision Systems*, 33, 551 - 558. https://doi.org/10.1080/12460125.2023.2197704

Rivard, S. (2024). Unpacking the process of conceptual leaping in the conduct of literature reviews. *J. Strateg. Inf. Syst.*, 33, 101822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2024.101822

Van Wee, B., & Banister, D. (2023). Literature review papers: the search and selection process. *Journal of Decision Systems*, 33, 559 - 565. https://doi.org/10.1080/12460125.2023.2197703

Gentles, S., Charles, C., Nicholas, D., Ploeg, J., & McKibbon, K. (2016). Reviewing the research methods literature: principles and strategies illustrated by a systematic overview of sampling in qualitative research. *Systematic Reviews*, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0343-0.

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.